

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/805,449	BRETON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Mr. James R. Brueggemann, Attorney.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2006

**Time:** 1:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Brueggemann agreed to change dependency of claim 31 from claim 9 to claim 28 to avoid duplication of claims.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*D. S. Nakarani* 9/18/2006

(Examiner/~~SEE~~ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)